

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/815,776	04/02/2004	Wen-Kwei Chang	FP10100	8173
75	90 . 01/27/2005		EXAMINER	
Wen-Kwei Chang			GALL, LLOYD A	
PO Box 82-144 Taipei,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			3676	
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,776	CHANG, WEN-KWEI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lloyd A. Gall	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON [*] cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au	ugust 2004.					
	<u>_</u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

In response to applicant's NOTICE filed on August 12, 2004, it is first noted that claims 3 and 4 have been canceled. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.121, in any amendment filed by applicant, claims 3 and 4 should be included with a claim listing, with a status identifier as being canceled.

Applicant should also note that if applicant wants the publication disclosed on page 1 of the application to be considered, a proper Information Disclosure Statement must be filed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, line 5, "3-3" should read -4-4--. On page 7, line 18, "1" should read -2--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10, line 10, there is no antecedent basis for "the components", nor is it clear if any components are being claimed. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steinbach (069).

Art Unit: 3676

Steinbach teaches an inner shaft body 28 and 24 having a protrusion 28D which is received in a recess 18D of an anti-drill plate 18, wherein the protrusion 28D is capable of fracturing upon exposure by a drill to the plate 18.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garza.

Garza teaches an inner shaft body 16 with a keyhole and an anti-drill plate 38, including a protrusion 48 and recess 50, wherein the protrusion 48 is capable of being damaged.

It is further noted that the protrusion is not being claimed as being of a breakable material or shape.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garza in view of Steinbach.

Steinbach teaches a protrusion 28D on an inner shaft body and a recess on an anti-drill plate 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reverse the protrusion and recess of Garza, such that the protrusion is on the inner shaft body and the recess is on the anti-drill plate, in view of the teaching of Steinbach, as an obvious reversal of parts.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,776

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828 and after April 2005 at 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG **L G** January 21, 2005

Lloyd A. Gall Primary Examinar